



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,590	09/10/2003	Karl-Ernst Mack	2002DE313	5263
75	90 02/25/2005		EXAMINER	
Clariant Corporation			KEYS, ROSALYND ANN	
Industrial Property Department 4000 Monroe Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Charlotte, NC 28205			1621	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/659,590	MACK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rosalynd Keys	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from s. cause the application to become ABANDONE	tely filed  s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	ugust 2004.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idea of the I	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	<b>∆</b> □	(DTO 442)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/659,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claims 1-15 are rejected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1O3(a) as being Unpatentable over Wolfram (US 4,847,709) in view of Nakayama et al. (US 4,289,91 8), for the reasons given in the previous office action, mailed March 25, 2004. Further, although Wolfram do not exemplify obtaining a p-/o- ratio of at least 3:1, Wolfram do teach that the use of a chlorinated 2,8-dimethylphenoxathiin as co-catalyst will produce a p-/o- ratio of usually above 1.6 (see column 4, lines 3-29). Thus, obtaining a ratio of at least 3:1 is suggested by Wolfram.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed August 25, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicants argue that one with ordinary skill in the art having a knowledge of Wolfram and Nakayama, would not enjoy any reasonable expectation of success that the claimed method maximizes the yield of 4-chloro-1, 2-dimethylbenzene rather than the 3-chloro-1, 2-dimethylbenzene. Specifically, the prior art suggests directly that the opposite will transpire, namely the favoring of the 3-chloro-1,2-dimethylbenzene to the disadvantage of the 4-chloro isomer. In fact, the combined teaching of the references, and that which is known by

Application/Control Number: 10/659,590 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

one with ordinary skill in the art, teach away from the instant invention, as all indications of the prior art suggests that the 3-chloro-1,2-dimethylbenzene would be formed to the determent of 4-chloro-1, 2-dimethylbenzene.

The Examiner disagrees. Wolfram specifically teaches that the object of his invention is to improve the ring chlorination of toluene further in favor of the formation of p-monochlorotoluene (see column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 2). Thus, contrary to Applicants arguments the prior art desires to obtain more of the 4-isomer and less of the 3-isomer. In fact, Wolfram teaches that an increase in the p-monochlorotoluene share by as little as 0.5% is of high economic value. Further, Wolfram teaches that this object is achieved by the use of a chlorinated 2,8-dimethylphenoxathiin (see column 4, lines 3 and 4). Thus, Wolfram obtains an increase in the p-/o- ratio by the same means as Applicants, i.e., the use of a chlorinated 2,8-dimethylphenoxathiin. The only difference between the instant claims and the invention of Wolfram is that Wolfram chlorinates toluene, whereas the Applicants chlorinate xylene. However, Nakayama et al. teach that the chlorination of xylene is similar to the chlorination of toluene in the presence of a phenoxthine compound. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would reasonably believe that based upon the teachings of Nakayama et al. that substitution of xylene for toluene in the process of Wolfram would also result in an increase in the p-/o- ratio.

For the above reasons the rejection of claims 1-15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being Unpatentable over Wolfram (US 4,847,709) in view of Nakayama et al. (US 4,289,91 8) is maintained.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosalynd Keys whose telephone number is 571-272-0639. The examiner can normally be reached on M and F 3:00-8:00 pm and T-Th 5:30-10:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1621

February 20, 2005